

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		IG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/775,653	09/775,653 02/05/2001		Yuuichi Tachino	1076.1063 (JDH)	9294	
21171	7590	09/25/2002				
STAAS & HALSEY LLP 700 11TH STREET, NW SUITE 500				EXAMI	EXAMINER	
				CROWELL, ANNA M		
WASHINGT	ON, DC 20	0001		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
				1763		
				DATE MAILED: 09/25/2002		

DATE MAILED: 09/23/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

_			$-\omega$
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/775,653	TACHINO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michelle Crowell	1763	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence addres	:s
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO a, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this commu. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 s	September 2002 .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	nis action is non-final.		
 Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims 			erits is
4) Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application	າ.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1,4-6,8,9,12,15,16,1</u>	<u>9 and 20</u> is/are withdrawr	from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,3,7,10,11,13,14,17 and 18</u> is/are re	jected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Applicant may not request that any objection to th			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	Karriirier.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		C 440(=) (d) == (f)	
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	9 (19(a)-(u) or (i).	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:			
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document		Analiantian Na	
2. Certified copies of the priority document			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ireau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		je
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(e) (to a provisional app	olication).
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2	5) Notice o	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-15	

Art Unit: 1763

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 1, 4-6, 8, 9, 12, 15, 16, 19 and 20 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. In addition, claim 1 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 5.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 2, 7, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Takagi (Japanese Patent Publication 10-302997).

Referring to Drawing 6 and 7, and paragraphs [0027]-[0038], Takagi discloses a plasma treatment device comprising a discharge container (cylindrical reaction tube) consisting of a power introducing window 12 and an electrode 13, 1st antenna 18 and 2nd antenna 19 (high frequency antenna), a cylinder 20 (drive mechanism), and a vacuum container 11 (processing chamber). The power introduction window 12 is made of quartz (dielectric material) and both antennae are located around the reaction tube. The 1st antenna 18 (power supply terminal) is connected to a power supply which is not shown [0031], and the electrode 13 (ground terminal) is held at a ground potential [0028]. During the cleaning (etching) process, 1st and 2nd antenna

Art Unit: 1763

are electrically coupled and therefore the power combination between the antenna and the plasma create capacitive coupling. The cylinder 20 controls the movement of the 2nd antenna 19.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 3, 13, 14, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takagi (Japanese Patent Publication 10-302997) in view of Tepman et al. (U.S. 5,879,575) and Okumura et al. (U.S. 5,888,413).

Takagi fails to teach an antenna with a plurality of windings with a sloped segment connecting the windings, and a rotating drive mechanism.

Referring to Figures 5 and 6, column 6, lines 15-24, and column 7, lines 35-65, Tepman teaches an RF coil 150 (antenna) with multiple windings 152, 154, and 156. The RF coil 150 is mounted on a coil support 270 (intermediate segment which is sloped or inclined), and the coil support 270 connects the various windings. In addition, the coil support 270 is located closer to an outer peripheral surface of the reactor 240 (reaction tube) than the RF coil 150. The RF coil 150 is connected to ground at winding 152 and connected to a power supply source at 170. Both the RF coil 150 and the coil support 270 rotate around the reactor ceiling 100 by means of a connecting rod 310 (drive mechanism). When the RF coil is rotated around the reactor ceiling, the majority of the inner surface of the reactor vessel is cleaned. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the reaction tube

Art Unit: 1763

of Takagi with the rotating coil with a plurality of windings as taught by Tepman. This rotating coil allows the inner surface of the reaction tube to be thoroughly cleaned.

6. Claims 10 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takagi (Japanese Patent Publication 10-302997) in view of Tepman et al. (U.S. 5,879,575) as applied to claims 2, 3, 7, 11, 13, 14, and 8 above, and further in view of and Okumura et al. (U.S. 5,888,413).

Takagi in view of Tepman fails to teach a controller.

Referring to Figures 1 and 17, column 4, line 65-column 5, line5, and column 10, lines 5-10, Okumura teaches that it is known to use a controller 100 to control the rotational speed of a coil 1 by controlling the stepping motor 3 (rotary actuator-drive mechanism). The coil 1 is connected to a stepping motor 3 via rotary shaft 4. By controlling the rotational speed of the coil, a uniformed etch rate is created. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the rotational drive mechanism of Takagi in view of Tepman with the controller as taught by Okumura. This would create a uniformed etch rate.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michelle Crowell whose telephone number is (703) 305-1956. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00 - 4:30).

Art Unit: 1763

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Mills can be reached on (703) 308-1633. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

AMC (MC

September 23, 2002

GREGORY MILLS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700